Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	İ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	rv	10/649,023	BLACKMON ET	AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jessica L. Ward	1733	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jessica L. Ward</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. Elchuk.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 July 2007		Time:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes N	• •	nt's representative)		,
If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.			•	
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				·
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	tion. The parate re	examiner will provide a writteecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	he substance
			•	
·				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to designate "first" and "second" resins throughout the claims to avoid confusion between the resin of the rigid interlayer and the resin of the reinforcing structure. Applicant agreed to cancel dependent claim 8 to avoid redundancy. Applicant agreed to restructuring parts of claims 11 and 19 to clarify that the first resin only forms a rigid interlayer after it is cured. Applicant also agreed to amend claims 1, 11 and 19 to state that the reinforcing structure is secured "directly" to/on the rigid interlayer to distinguish the claimed invention from the teachings of Shimodaira and Stang (both of record).